



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10/1
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/660,117 | 09/11/2003 | Serap Ayse Savari | 3 | 6834 |
| 7590 | 10/18/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Suite 205 1300 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06824 | | | JEAN PIERRE, PEGUY | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2819 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/660,117 | SAVARI, SERAP AYSE | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Peguy JeanPierre | 2819 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/11/2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 7-10 and 13-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 5-6, 11-12, 16, and 19-20 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/27; 2/25; 9/11</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements filed on 8/27/2004, 2/25/204, and 9/11/2003 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babu et al. (US 2003/0130855) in view of Yang et al. (USP 6,801,141).

Babu et al. disclose in Figure 1 a compression system that comprises a compression table that is compressed row-wise where each row represents an input string (154 page 12). The compression method uses only a single pass over a sorted (ordered) list of the input string and further employs a Lempel-Ziv compression method to compress the data (122 page 10; 135 page 11). Babu et al. fail to teach a grammar based lossless data compression scheme; that the input string is one or more program instructions or events in a communication network.

Yang et al. disclose a lossless data compression system using context dependent grammar based algorithm. The algorithm of Yang et al. comprises programming instructions suitable in communication network by achieving online compression of web-

based data to recover the original input string incrementally. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement in the system of Babu et al. the grammar based compression scheme as taught by Yang et al. to efficiently transform an input sequence associated with a specific context into a irreducible context dependent grammar and orderly recover the input sequence in critical applications such as text files, web pages etc... and facilitate interchange between the data or events. Though Babu et al. do not disclose a lexicographic and/or a Foata normal form, it is to be noted that both forms call for ordering/sorting the input string. Hence, they both can be processed in the compression scheme of Babu et al. because of these identical features. It is also to be noted that the sorting/ordering of the input string requires the use of a memory to read, write, process the input string as desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-6, 11-12, 16, and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peguy JeanPierre whose telephone number is (571) 272-1803. The examiner fax phone number is (571) 273-1803.


Peguy JeanPierre
Primary Examiner